UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,444	12/31/2003	Jeung-Hie Choi	51876P555	1775
8791 7590 03/17/2008 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYYALE CA 04085 4040			EXAMINER	
			XIAO, KE	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/750,444	CHOI, JEUNG-HIE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KE XIAO	2629	

	KE XIAO	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONE	OITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cl periods:	eplies: (1) an amendmer al (with appeal fee) in co	nt, affidavit, or other evidence, w impliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the da ter than SIX MONTHS from b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	n the mailing date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding the corresponding to the correct statutory period for the correct end of the co	ng amount of the fee. The appropria r reply originally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection (s):</li> </ul>	·		
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections un	ider appeal and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I</li> </ul>			e because:
13. Other:	10/05/00/1 apel No(5	<i></i>	
/Sumati Lefkowitz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629	/Ke Xiao/ Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2629	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding independent Claims 1 and 6, the applicant argues that Toba in view of Kurashima fail to teach "a display panel driver shared by the display panels; and a display path control unit for controlling the display panel driver, wherein a different portion of the display panel driver is enabled by the display path control unit according to which one of the plurality of display panels are activated". Specifically the applicant argues that Kurashima fails to teach that a different portion of the display panel driver is enabled according to which display is active citing paragraph [0082] and [0087]. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Kurashima clearly teaches the feature of a shared display driver where a different portion of the driver is enabled according to which display is active as stated in paragraph [0087] and shown in Fig. 5. More specifically Fig. 5 shows electrodes 33 exclusively being output to the sub display and electrodes 34 exclusively being output to the main display and paragraph [0087] clearly describes that when either display is inactive the scanning electrodes are turned off in order to save power hence a different portion of the driver is active depending on which panel is displaying.